#### Freedom of Information Act 2000: Section 40(2)

Section 40(2) together with the conditions in section 40(3)(a)(i) or 40(3)(b) provides an absolute exemption if disclosure of the personal data would breach any of the data protection principles.

'Personal data' is defined in sections 3(2) and (3) of the Data Protection Act 1998 ('the DPA 2018') and means any information relating to an identified or identifiable living individual. An identifiable living individual is one who can be identified, directly or indirectly, in particular by reference to an identifier such as a name, an identification number, location data, an online identifier or to one or more factors specific to the physical, physiological, genetic, mental, economic, cultural or social identity of the individual.

We have concluded that, in this instance, the information requested contains third party personal data.

Under Section 40(2) of the FOIA, personal data is exempt from release if disclosure would breach one of the data protection principles set out in Article 5 of the GDPR. We consider the principle being most relevant in this instance as being the first. This states that personal data must be:

"processed lawfully, fairly and in a transparent manner in relation to the data subject"

The lawful basis that is most relevant in relation to a request for information under the FOIA is Article 6(1)(f). This states:

"processing is necessary for the purposes of the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party except where such interests are overridden by the interests or fundamental rights and freedoms of the data subject which require protection of personal data, in particular where the data subject is a child".

In considering the application of Article 6(1)(f) in the context of a request for information under FOIA it is necessary to consider the following three-part test:-

- The Legitimate interest test: Whether a legitimate interest is being pursued in the request for information;
- The Necessity test: Whether disclosure of the information/confirmation or denial that it is held is necessary to meet the legitimate interest in question;
- **The Balancing test**: Whether the above interests override the interests, fundamental rights and freedoms of the data subject.

Our consideration of these tests is set out below:

#### 1. Legitimate interests

The requestor is requesting correspondence between organisations which can be provided without the disclosure of personal information as sufficient identifiers have been included to allow the conversation to be followed. Therefore, we do not believe there is a clear legitimate interest in the disclosure of personal information.

## 2. Is disclosure necessary?

We do not believe the identities of individuals simply carrying out what are, essentially, administrative functions could increase the understanding of the information being released. We have provided sufficient identifiers that allow the conversations to be followed. Consequentially we do not believe that disclosure of such individuals identities are necessary.

# 3. The balance between legitimate interests and the data subject's interests or fundamental rights and freedoms

There is no clear legitimate interest for the disclosure of personal information. Personal information can be redacted with identifiers applied to allow the conversations to be followed.

Redaction has not been applied to senior positions where their personal information is publicly available. At local authority level this will include Director and above. At Welsh Government level this will include Deputy Director and above.

## Conclusion

As release of the information would not be legitimate under Article 6(1)(f), and as no other condition of Article 6 is deemed to apply, release of the information would not be lawful within the meaning of the first data protection principle. It has therefore been withheld under section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act. Section 40 is an absolute exemption and not subject to the public interest test.