

Capital Grant Application Assessment – Criteria and Weighting

Safe Routes in Communities

| Criteria | Weighting (W) |
|--|------------------|
| Sustainable Development Principle – has the scheme been developed and appraised using the five ways of working principles? For Safe Routes in Communities schemes has there been engagement with children and young people? | 5 |
| Strategic Case – does the scheme demonstrate a sound case for change? Does it fit with the well-being objectives? | 5 |
| Strategic Case – does it meet the relevant capital grant objectives in the guidance? | 10 |
| Transport Case – does the scheme offer value for money? | 5 |
| Transport Case – does the scheme maximise contribution to all the well-being goals | 5 |
| Management Case – Is the scheme deliverable and have any milestones been explained? Have the delivery risks been identified and mitigated? | 5 |
| Financial Case – what is the total scheme cost to SRiC? Scoring: <£0.1m = 5, £0.1m-£0.24m = 4, £0.25m-£0.49m = 3, £0.5m-£0.99m = 2, £1m-£1.49m = 1, £1.5m and over = 0 | 4 |
| Match funding – is match funding available? Scoring: 0% = 0, 1%-9% = 1; 10%-19% = 2; 20%-29% = 3; 30%-39% = 4 etc | 4 |
| Commercial Case – how will the scheme be procured? Is it viable? Does the contract length fit with the grant terms and conditions? | 3 |
| Monitoring and Evaluation – does the proposal include plan for monitoring and evaluation? | 3 |

Notes

a) Scoring

5 = Outstanding

4 = Very Good

3 = Good

2 = Adequate

1 = Poor

0 = None or No Evidence

b) Calculation – The weighting is multiplied by the scoring for the assessment values, which are all added together to give the final value.