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1. Introduction

1.1 The School Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013 ('the Act') consolidates, clarifies and reforms the law in relation to intervention in schools causing concern.

1.2 The provisions in the Act and this accompanying statutory guidance provide a single point of reference for local authorities when they are considering using their powers to intervene in schools causing concern. They aim to ensure that local authorities are clear about their powers of intervention and those of Welsh Ministers and that they use their powers proactively and effectively to bring about the rapid improvement of underperforming schools. These provisions form part of the Cabinet Secretary for Education concerted programme of action to raise school standards in Wales.

1.3 The reforms respond to concerns that in local authorities some schools consistently underperform for a variety of reasons including the fact that authorities did not use the full range of their powers to improve schools quickly enough.

1.4 The guidance sets out clearly the procedures to be followed by local authorities in ensuring that schools causing concern make the necessary changes as early as possible.

1.5 This is statutory guidance issued under Section 20 of the Act. Local authorities must have regard to this guidance when considering using their powers of intervention to intervene in a school causing concern.
2. Summary of guidance

2.1 This guidance is issued by the Cabinet Secretary for Education, being one of the Welsh Ministers. The Welsh Ministers are part of the Welsh Government.

2.2 This circular replaces Welsh Government Circular 202/2016. It does not constitute an authoritative interpretation of the relevant provisions of the legislation – that is a matter for the Courts.

2.3 Section 20 of the 2013 Act places a duty on all local authorities in Wales to have regard to any guidance given by the Welsh Ministers in exercising their functions in respect of intervention in schools causing concern as set out in Part 2 of the 2013 Act.

2.4 This is guidance for local authorities when they are considering intervening in schools causing concern in their area. It also sets out the approach the Welsh Ministers may take in exercising their own intervention and consent functions. All those using this guidance, particularly local authorities need to be familiar with the actual wording of the legislation to which this guidance relates as this guidance is not meant to be a substitute for legislation.

2.5 A school will be ‘eligible for intervention’ where:

- one or more of the grounds 1-6 exist, a warning notice has been issued to the governing body, but it has not complied with that notice to the authority’s satisfaction;
- the school has been deemed by Estyn to require significant improvement or special measures (no warning notice is required), or
- one or more of the grounds 1-6 for intervention exist and there is a related risk to the health or safety of any person that calls for urgent intervention (no warning notice is required).
3. Roles and responsibilities

3.1 All those involved in the provision of education in Wales have a common interest in raising standards of achievement and improving the quality of education in schools so as to avoid schools requiring significant improvement or special measures. Historically, the number of schools in Wales identified as causing concern following inspection by Estyn has been relatively small. However, all parties are committed to reducing the number and to securing ongoing improvements in standards. To achieve this, senior management teams in schools and governing bodies need to ensure that there are robust and rigorous processes for self-evaluation and a commitment to the development and implementation of improvement planning. All schools should have effective improvement/development plans. School development plans have been a statutory requirement for all schools in Wales since September 2015 and should form part of these documents.

3.2 Schools have the central role in driving improvements in the quality of learning and the standards achieved by young people. In addition to having a central role in delivering education they have a responsibility for their own improvement through school self evaluation, performance management and target setting, and for making the best use of the challenge and support available to them. In particular it is important that prompt and effective action is taken to both build on strengths and identify and address poor performance.

3.3 Local authorities have statutory responsibility for monitoring and intervening where there are concerns about school standards. However, in line with the national model for regional working, regional education consortia (which are a partnership of constituent local authorities) will deliver or broker school improvement strategies on behalf of those authorities. Regional consortia should monitor schools’ progress systematically, identify at an early stage schools where there may be difficulties and act quickly to prevent these from escalating by providing effective targeted support to improve any shortcomings. Providing effective support and challenge therefore plays a key role in addressing low performance, raising standards and improving the quality of education. It is important that regional consortia and local authorities, working in partnership with schools put in place effective mechanisms for performance monitoring. Such mechanisms have a key role in providing early identification of issues that need to be addressed.

3.4 A key mechanism in this process will be the judgements reached through the National School Categorisation System which uses a three stage process to assign each primary and secondary school in Wales with an overall support category on an annual basis. This three step process uses available data at step one, then considers the quality of teaching and learning and the quality of leadership and management of a school, taking into account the school’s

---

1 The National model for regional working outlines the Welsh Government’s vision of regional school improvement consortia.
context and performance to reach a judgment on the overall level of support required.

3.5 The four regional education consortia and their respective challenge advisors, have a key role in providing support and challenge to schools and local authorities, identifying and disseminating good practice.

3.6 Local authorities will work in partnership with religious authorities\(^2\) where the school has a religious character, as they are charged with working with and supporting governing bodies and school management teams in securing ongoing improvements in standards.

3.7 Consortia challenge advisors should make intelligent and realistic use of the data available to them. This means considering the raw data but taking into account the context and particular circumstances at the school to understand a school’s needs and take a proactive role in early action to support school improvement and inform the local authority accordingly. Identifying and solving issues early will be less detrimental to pupils’ outcomes and more cost-effective than allowing a school to fall into deep rooted failure.

3.8 The religious and voluntary bodies work in close partnership with local authorities and regional consortia in providing and supporting schools. The appropriate religious bodies are able to exercise a powerful influence over the schools they provide. They should be kept fully informed of any concerns as soon as they are identified so that they can be fully involved in their resolution. Working in close partnership in this way is more likely to bring about rapid and sustainable school improvement. Particular attention should be given by local authorities, regional consortia and religious authorities to support and where necessary take action in schools where performance is unacceptably low or where other issues are having an adverse impact on the operation of the school. Whilst all parties should be working together to monitor, support, challenge and take appropriate action to enable a school to improve, it is important to remember that the statutory role for intervention rests with the local authority.

3.9 Both local authorities and Welsh Ministers have powers to intervene where a school is causing concern. Local authorities are already responsible for managing school improvement. Through regional consortia services, local authorities provide support, monitor, challenge and take action with the schools in their area. The Welsh Ministers acknowledge therefore that ordinarily local authorities are best placed to consider intervention for schools in their area. Therefore, if intervention is required the local authority will be expected to take that action in the first instance. Welsh Ministers will only intervene where the local authority has failed to do so or done so inadequately.

\(^2\) In this circular all references to Religious Authorities are references to the appropriate diocesan authority which appoints foundation governors to religious voluntary aided schools. For the definition of appropriate diocesan authority please see section 98 of the 2013 Act.
3.10 Where a local authority/ regional consortium has concerns in relation to the quality of teaching, learning and standards achieved by pupils and/or the leadership and management of a school, prompt action should be taken to support the school. Such concerns should be based on an appropriate range of available quantitative and qualitative evidence available including analysis of performance data, direct observation of the quality of teaching, evaluations of leadership and management and information from the respective challenge advisor.

3.11 In such circumstances the local authority acting with its regional consortium should, in discussion with the school's governing body and senior management team, take prompt and early action to identify areas for improvement and agree appropriate action and support. A local authority could issue a pre-warning notice or letter of concern to a school as a means to highlight and document concerns that need to be addressed. For schools with a designated religious character the appropriate religious authority should be kept informed of any concerns in relation to such schools and should work in partnership with the local authority, regional consortium and the school to ensure their early resolution. If matters are not resolved within a measurable period (to be determined by the local authority) the local authority should also consider whether it is appropriate to serve the governing body with a warning notice as provided under Section 3 of the 2013 Act.
4. Schools causing concern

4.1 A local authority has the power to intervene in a maintained school where:

- one or more of the grounds 1-6 exist, a warning notice has been issued to the governing body but it has not complied with that notice to the authority’s satisfaction;
- the school has been deemed by Estyn to require significant improvement or special measures (no warning notice is required), or
- one or more of the grounds 1-6 for intervention exist and there is a related risk to the health or safety of any person that calls for urgent intervention (no warning notice is required).

4.2 Where schools are eligible for intervention local authorities may exercise their powers to: require the governing body to secure advice or collaborate; appoint additional governors; suspend the delegated authority for the school’s budget; appoint an IEB, give directions to the governing body or headteacher; or take any other steps.

4.3 Where schools are eligible for intervention the Welsh Ministers have the power to: require the governing body to secure advice or collaborate; appoint additional governors; appoint an IEB; direct the federation of the school; give directions or take any other steps.

4.4 Where HMCI is of the opinion that a school requires special measures the Welsh Ministers may direct the local authority to close the school.

Warning notices

Establishing the grounds for a warning notice

4.5 Under section 3 of the 2013 Act. A warning notice may be given to the governing body of a maintained school in any one of the following circumstances:

Ground 1: The standards of performance of pupils at the school are unacceptably low.

Ground 2: There has been a breakdown in the way the school is managed or governed.

Ground 3: The behaviour of pupils at the school or any action taken by those pupils or their parents is severely prejudicing, or is likely to severely prejudice, the education of any pupils at the school.

Ground 4: The safety of pupils or staff at the school is threatened (whether by a breakdown of discipline or otherwise).

Ground 5: The governing body or head teacher has failed, or is likely to fail, to comply with a duty under the Education Acts.
Ground 6: The governing body or head teacher has acted, or is proposing to act unreasonably in the exercise of any of its or his or her functions under the Education Acts.

4.6 The definition of what constitutes low standards of performance in Ground 1 is where they are low by reference to one or more of the following:

- The standards that the pupils might in all the circumstances reasonably be expected to attain;
- Where relevant, the standards previously attained by the same cohort;
- The standards attained by pupils at comparable schools.

4.7 Local authorities should draw on a suitable range of quantitative and qualitative information to form a complete picture of the school's performance and take the advice of the regional consortia before deciding to issue a warning notice. This should include as a minimum the All Wales Core Data Sets, but should extend to the full range of relevant quantitative and qualitative evidence available.

Evidence of unacceptably low standards and levels of progress – ground 1

4.8 Quantitative evidence of 'unacceptably low standards' may take one of the following forms; usually a combination of several of these indicators will apply:

- The school's All Wales Core Data Set indicates that pupil progress is persistently and/or well below expectations;
- There is specific evidence, from close examination of contextual data or other sources that there are groups of pupils performing below expectations;
- Benchmarked data shows that the school is underperforming.

4.9 Qualitative evidence to confirm concerns about the school's standards indicated by the quantitative data may be available from sources such as Estyn reports, consortia/challenge advisor intelligence and reviews including their school categorisation judgments, feedback from parents, and analyses of pupils' work.

Benchmarking compares the performance of one school against others who have similar levels of pupils with free school meals (FSM). FSM is regarded as the main indicator of social deprivation in schools. This allows a comparison of the performance of a school against others who are in the same FSM category, and who might therefore have a similar intake of pupils from deprived backgrounds. For the purposes of these charts, schools have been grouped together with other schools that have similar levels of free school meals.
4.10 Information from a single academic year is unlikely to be sufficient to justify a warning notice, unless the problems it indicates are severe, or they appear in conjunction with weaknesses in leadership and management. Other than in exceptional cases, local authorities should look at more than one year of data to establish whether standards are improving, declining or fluctuating.

Evidence of a breakdown in the way the school is managed or governed – ground 2

4.11 "Breakdown" implies problems such as the persistent underperformance by the management of the school, or a failure in key relationships including where a relationship lacks challenge and has become ineffective. Key sources of information to justify a warning notice under this criterion are the school's self-evaluation, target setting, value for money, capacity to improve and Estyn reports.

4.12 In addition, some data trends may indicate a breakdown in management and governance. Such data should be treated with caution, as they may also arise for reasons other than poor school leadership.

4.13 Data trends which might in some circumstances prompt local authorities to investigate a school's management and governance further include:

- Declining school popularity, possibly revealed through the school roll falling more rapidly than might reasonably be expected from demographic changes;
- High or increasing absence or truancy rates;
- High rates of staff turnover, numbers of staff grievances, or capability and disciplinary proceedings;
- Feedback from parents, or significant or increasing numbers of parental complaints; and,
- Evidence of a dysfunctional governing body including non-compliance with the school governance framework.

Evidence of a breakdown of discipline – ground 3

4.14 A warning notice would be justified under this criterion where the safety of pupils or staff of the school is threatened due to a breakdown of discipline or otherwise. "Breakdown" implies problems inhibiting/ preventing the school from functioning in an orderly way. This may be the result of a number of issues including:

- staff being unable to maintain discipline and as a consequence large numbers of pupils are truanting;
- pupils are being violent to other pupils and/or staff at the school; or
- parental behaviour is causing a problem on the school grounds, resulting in the safety or welfare of pupils or staff being put at risk. It is accepted
that such behaviour may occur in all schools from time to time, however, it is the degree to which such behaviour is occurring which is important. It must be to such an extent that it is affecting the orderly running of the school. Exclusion data may be a useful indicator of such a breakdown.

4.15 Schools have the main responsibility for maintaining discipline. Local authorities have for some years had a reserve power to take whatever steps they think necessary including giving directions to the governing body or head teacher to prevent or put right a breakdown of discipline. The local authority should be prepared to use this power if they have reasonable grounds for believing that the school is unable or unwilling to take effective action within a reasonable timescale to address the problems at the school.

Evidence that the safety of pupils or staff at the school is threatened – ground 4

4.16 In certain circumstances, evidence for ground 4 is similar to that of ground 3. However, a separate example could be where the school site or buildings are not physically safe, and therefore pupils and staff are exposed to increased risks of accidents occurring which is a danger to their health and safety. Appropriate policies need to be in place to ensure that both staff and pupils are not at risk whilst on school premises.

Evidence of the governing body/ headteacher failing to comply with their duties - ground 5

4.17 Examples of failing to comply with this ground include:
- the governing body does not have appropriate policies and statutory plans in place;
- the governing body/ headteacher is failing to comply with education legislation;
- A failure to address underperforming teachers; and
- A failure to co-operate or work constructively with the school improvement consortia, in line with national model for regional working.

Evidence of the governing body/ headteacher acting unreasonably in the exercise of any of its functions - ground 6

4.18 An example of this ground would be that the school does have a staff disciplinary policy but the governing body/ headteacher are acting unreasonably as they have disregarded or are failing to comply with the policy and they have not provided any notice or an inadequate amount of notice for the disciplinary hearing to take place. Another example could include a governing body / headteacher disregarding legal advice that has been
provided by the local authority, and is failing to deliver the required number of school sessions.

4.19 In line with the national model for regional working, local authorities and regional consortia should engage schools effectively through a professional dialogue and work in partnership. In the case of schools with a religious character, discussion should be undertaken with the appropriate religious body where necessary to address the issues causing the local authority concern. It is the job of governors, school leaders, teachers and other staff to set high expectations of pupils, constantly seek to improve the quality of teaching and learning, raise standards, share good practice and learn from one another through genuine partnerships and school-to-school support arrangements.

4.20 Where a school is refusing to engage constructively and at pace with the challenge and support commissioned or provided by the regional consortia, the local authority should consider issuing a warning notice in order to bring the necessary support to bear before the issues of concern result in school failure if at least one of the grounds for intervention are satisfied.

4.21 Headteachers and teachers should be mindful that failure to engage with the local authority and their consortium, to improve the school’s performance, could be considered to be an act of misconduct.

4.22 However, a warning notice may still be issued to a school which is cooperating with the consortia and the local authority as outlined above in circumstances where the local authority believes that despite this cooperation a warning notice remains an appropriate course of action. For example, where support has been provided and the school has cooperated but performance is still not improving.

**Giving a warning notice**

4.23 A warning notice must be given in writing to the governing body of the school and must set out:

- The grounds for intervention.
- The reasons why the authority is satisfied that the grounds exist. This should set out in some detail the matters on which the concerns are based and explain the facts in relation to the circumstances which are giving cause for concern.
- The action which the governing body is required to take in order to deal with the grounds for intervention.
- The period in which the action is to be taken by the governing body (this is ‘the compliance period’).
- The action the local authority is minded to take (under one or more of sections 5 to 9 of the 2013 Act or otherwise) if the governing body does
not take the required action. (The local authority is not limited to taking the action it said it was minded to in the warning notice) should it decide to proceed with an intervention.

4.24 In addition to giving the governing body the warning notice, the local authority must also give a copy to the head teacher and in the case of a foundation or voluntary aided or voluntary controlled school the person who appoints the foundation governors and the appropriate religious authority (in the case of a school with a religious character).

All warning notices must be copied to the Welsh Government at the same time using the following e-mail address:
Education-BusinessPlanningGovernance@gov.wales

This is a requirement under section 3 of the School Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013

4.25 The warning notice must set out the action which the local authority "requires" the governing body to take. The local authority and consortia will continue to support the school to take action. The governing body does not have discretion to decide whether or not to comply; it must comply. If it fails to, the local authority is likely to have grounds (although this will depend on the circumstances of each case) for proceeding to use its statutory powers or the local authority could put a complaint to the Welsh Ministers under Ground 6 asserting that the governing body is acting unreasonably in failing to comply, and seek a direction from the Welsh Ministers to the governing body under section 17 of the 2013 Act.

4.26 Where a warning notice has been given which has not been complied with to the satisfaction of the local authority within the compliance period, the school is eligible for intervention. If urgent intervention is required, this could be as little as one working day after the end of the compliance period. The local authority is not limited to taking the action it said it was minded to take in a warning notice.

4.27 Annex 4 contains a template which can be utilised when issuing a warning notice.

**Inappropriate issue of warning notices**

4.28 The governing body may make a complaint to Welsh Ministers if they feel that the local authority has issued a warning notice inappropriately. The complaint should be made in writing and include evidence to support the claim. Welsh Ministers may also approach the local authority concerned to ask if it wishes to present any information in relation to the matter. This enables the Welsh Ministers to make a direction, under sections 27 or 28 of the 2013 Act if expedient to do so, where all evidence has been considered and they are
satisfied that a local authority has acted, or is proposing to act unreasonably with respect to an education function, or where the local authority has failed to discharge a duty under the Education Acts (see grounds 1 to 3 of section 21 of the 2013 Act).

4.29 Following consideration of the evidence provided, if Welsh Ministers conclude that a warning notice has not been issued inappropriately, the complaint will not be upheld and Welsh Ministers will write to the school and local authority accordingly.

4.30 If following consideration Welsh Ministers conclude that the warning notice has been issued inappropriately, Welsh Ministers will write to the local authority and direct the withdrawal of the warning notice and write to the school advising of the decision.

**Schools eligible for intervention because they have been judged as requiring significant improvement or special measures**

4.31 If, following an inspection by Estyn a school is identified as causing concern it will be placed in one of the following categories as defined by Section 44 of the Education Act 2005.

*Significant improvement – A school requires significant improvement if it is performing significantly less well that it might in all the circumstances reasonably be expected to perform and does not fall into the more serious category of special measures.*

*Special measures – Special measures are required to be taken in relation to a school if the school is failing to give its pupils an acceptable standard of education and the persons responsible for leading, managing or governing the school are not demonstrating the capacity to secure the necessary improvement in the school.*

4.32 If the school has already been given a warning notice by a local authority and it is subsequently found to be in need of special measures or significant improvement following an Estyn inspection, the judgement means the school is eligible for intervention whether or not the period of compliance in the warning notice has expired or the governing body has made/ or intends to make representations to Welsh Ministers.

**Removal of a Warning Notice where a school has complied**

4.33 If a local authority is satisfied that the grounds for intervention have been dealt with or that using its powers of intervention would not be appropriate for any other reason it must notify the governing body of its conclusion in writing. Where the local authority issues such a notice it should send a copy at the same time to the head teacher, and in the case of a foundation school, voluntary aided or voluntary controlled school, the person who appoints the
foundation governors and the appropriate religious body if the school has a religious character. Welsh Ministers should also be notified using the following e-mail address: Education-BusinessPlanningGovernance@gov.wales. This is a requirement under section 4 of the School Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013.

4.34 A school remains eligible for intervention by a local authority until one of the following events takes place –

- the authority gives notice that it is satisfied that the grounds for intervention have been dealt with or that using its powers of intervention would not be appropriate for any other reason.
- the Welsh Ministers determine that the power to intervene is no longer in effect and give notice in writing to the local authority and the governing body of their determination;
- the Welsh Ministers issue a warning notice to the governing body.
5. Powers and types of intervention – local authorities

5.1 Where a school is 'eligible for intervention' there are a number of powers the local authority or Welsh Ministers may utilise. These interventions are set out in sections 5 to 9 and 12 to 18 of the 2013 Act. Local authorities must give reasonable notice (the timing of the notice will be dependent on the particular issue that is to be addressed) in writing to the governing body that they propose to exercise their powers under any one or more of sections 5 to 9.

5.2 Should a local authority decide that sufficient evidence exists to warrant the formal use of its powers of intervention, the Welsh Ministers should be notified of the type of intervention that the authority has put in place.

Local authority powers

Requirement to secure advice or collaborate

5.3 Section 5 enables a local authority to direct the governing body to enter into a contract or other arrangement to secure advice and/or collaborate where a school is eligible for intervention.

5.4 Collaboration is not a panacea, but it can be a powerful means to drive up school improvement. Collaborative arrangements should therefore be used wherever appropriate as part of packages of support for schools causing concern.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose of the intervention:</th>
<th>To require a school which is a cause for concern to secure advice or collaborate in order to secure improvements.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best used:</td>
<td>Where the school or key figures within it refuse to collaborate with an appropriate partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional requirements before using power:</td>
<td>• Local authority must consult the governing body of the school and if the school is a foundation or voluntary aided or voluntary controlled school, the appropriate religious body (if applicable) and the person or body who appoints the foundation governors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Local authority must find a willing school, college or other organisation, or individual to advise or act as a partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• In choosing a suitable partner, local authorities would be expected to take into account the charitable/religious character of a voluntary or foundation school.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5 Collaborative working can offer schools causing concern access to new perspectives, support and expertise. As a result, some schools are turned around more rapidly than expected, with sustained capacity for future improvements, after working alongside a partner institution.

The appointment of additional governors

5.6 Section 6 enables a local authority to appoint additional governors where a school is eligible for intervention. The local authority may appoint as many additional governors as they think fit.

5.7 Section 6(3) of the Act allows the local authority to nominate one of those additional governors to be the chair of a governing body in place of the person who has been elected as chair by the governors, and section 6(6) allows the local authority to determine the period of time that governor, if elected, should remain in post.

5.8 As the purpose of this Act is to give local authorities the power to intervene and support underperforming schools, the Act is clear in its intention that one of the additional governors nominated by the local authority to be the chair should replace the existing chair. It would be appropriate for a local authority to take this course of action where they consider that the governing body requires stronger leadership and direction than is being provided by the current chair, if the school is to improve its performance.

5.9 Therefore whilst the power to appoint the chair ultimately rests with the governing body, in accordance with school governance regulations, a governing body should carefully consider the reasons why this has happened and which person is best suited to lead the governing body to improve pupil attainment and deliver better outcomes for pupils.

**Purpose of the intervention:** To strengthen the local authority’s voice on the governing body and/or provide additional expertise to the governors in key areas to support a school’s improvement. The power to nominate a chair from amongst the additional governors would help to ensure that a person with the most appropriate leadership skills was in post to deal with the issues and circumstances of the school and lead the governing body in driving forward improvements.

**Best used:** Where the governing body needs additional expertise, or the head teacher and senior management team need further challenge and support. In the case of nominating a chair, it is when the governing body requires the appropriate leadership from an experienced chair.
Additional requirements before using this power:

In the case of a voluntary aided school the local authority must consult the appropriate religious body (if applicable) and the person or body who appoints the foundation governors.

Whilst there is no longer a power for a voluntary aided school to appoint foundation governors for the purpose of outnumbering the other governors appointed by the local authority, it is good practice for the authority to provide the governing body and appointing body with information about the appointees before the appointment is confirmed. This will give them an opportunity to raise any concerns about the appointment of a particular individual, or about the terms of their appointment.

All school governors, including those appointed by the local authority must have regard to the religious ethos of the school.

The suspension of delegated authority for the governing body to manage a school’s budget

5.10 Section 8 of the 2013 Act enables a local authority to suspend the governing body’s right to a delegated budget, by giving the governing body of the school notice in writing. This applies where a maintained school is eligible for intervention and the school has a delegated budget within the meaning of Part 2 of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998.

5.11 A copy of the notice to suspend the right to a delegated budget must be given to the governing body of the school and copied to the head teacher.

5.12 The local authority may suspend a governing body’s right to a delegated budget in order to secure control of staffing and other spending decisions. This allows the local authority to remove poorly-performing teachers or headteachers if necessary, or to take control of budget deficits. It also allows governors to focus their time and attention on other priorities for improvement. If the local authority has appointed an IEB, it cannot suspend the school’s right to a delegated budget. If the local authority removed the right to a delegated budget before appointing an IEB, it must reinstate the delegated budget when the IEB comes into effect.
Purpose of intervention: To secure control over staffing and spending decisions in order to secure improvement.

Best used: Where the governing body is providing insufficient challenge to the head teacher or senior management team of the school, or where management of the budget is providing a distraction from the improvement priorities for governors.

Additional requirements before using the power: A copy of the notice to suspend the right to a delegated budget must be given to the governing body of the school and copied to the head teacher.

The appointment of an Interim Executive Board (IEB)

5.13 Section 7 of the 2013 Act enables the local authority to apply to the Welsh Ministers for consent to constitute the governing body as an IEB in accordance with Schedule 1 to the 2013 Act. An IEB is a specially constituted governing body which replaces a school’s existing governing body. For a Foundation or Voluntary School the Governing Body (corporate) is the charity. This power allows it to be reconstituted, but the IEB will be charity trustees in law and accountable accordingly. A local authority must obtain the consent of Welsh Ministers before it appoints an IEB. An IEB can be used to provide challenge to the leadership of the school to secure rapid improvement or where there has been a serious breakdown in the working relationships within the governing body of the school.

Purpose of intervention: To secure a step-change in the leadership and management of a school through the use of a specially-appointed governing body for a temporary period.

Best used: Where the governing body is providing insufficient challenge to the head teacher or senior management team of the school, is providing an obstacle to progress, or where there has been a breakdown in working relationships that is having an impact on standards.

Additional requirements before using power:

- The local authority must consult the governing body, and – in the case of a foundation or voluntary school – the appropriate religious body or other appointing authority.
- The local authority must obtain Welsh Ministers’ consent for the establishment of an IEB.
5.14 When considering appointing an IEB, the local authority must determine that the existing governing body is unable to provide the necessary leadership to turn around the school as quickly as possible. Clear evidence should be presented to the governing body as to why this conclusion has been reached. The governing body may not agree with the local authority’s judgement, which is why the power may only be used with the consent of Welsh Ministers.

Consultation

5.15 Before exercising this intervention the local authority must consult the governing body, and in the case of a school with a religious character, the appropriate religious body or other appointing authority.

A consultation must;

- be undertaken when proposals are at a formative stage and include sufficient detail to allow those affected to give a considered response;
- include the powers under which the action is being proposed, and include specific issues that are causing concern, i.e. details of how the governing body is failing to deliver its function effectively, and reasons why appointing an IEB is the most appropriate form of intervention regarding the matter;
- allow a minimum of 14 days for parties to respond. When deciding on the appropriate length of time to consult the local authority should take into account Bank holidays and school holidays.

5.16 In determining whether to consent to the local authority’s application to replace the governing body with an IEB the Minister must be satisfied on two counts:

(i) That the local authority has complied with its statutory duties in the 2013 Act in respect of the issue of a warning notice and undertaken a fair consultation with those affected;
(ii) That replacing the school’s governing body with an IEB is appropriate and justified when taking into account all the relevant facts and circumstances.

5.17 The Minister looks to Estyn to provide advice on all matters within its remit and is likely to seek advice on all applications to appoint an IEB.

5.18 IEB applications should be made using the form at annex 2 and should follow the guidance for completion of the form. An appropriately completed form will better enable the Welsh Ministers to make a timely determination, therefore it is important for the local authority to clearly set out the support and advice it has provided to the school before reaching the decision to apply for an IEB and be explicit in its reasons why an IEB is the only option to address the

---

4 Unless otherwise stated, all references to days or working days in this Circular mean a day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or Bank Holiday or part of a school holiday.
issues at the school. If consent in writing from the Welsh Minister is obtained, the local authority must write to the governing body to give them notice that the IEB will be established (a “notice of establishment”). This notice should specify a date when the IEB will commence and will usually give a date when the provision will be reviewed or cease.

**Delegated budget**

5.19 An IEB has a right to a delegated budget. If the school’s budget has previously been withdrawn from the governing body, then the local authority must restore the budget from the date when the IEB commences its work. If a notice has been given to the normally constituted governing body specifying a date when it is proposed to withdraw the right to a delegated budget, the notice will cease to be valid from the date of commencement of the IEB.

**The role and duties of the IEB**

5.20 An IEB should be a temporary measure and its main functions are to secure a sound basis for future improvement in the school and to promote high standards of educational achievement.

5.21 The IEB should be considered as the governing body of the school and any reference in the Education Acts to a governor or foundation governor has effect as a reference to an interim executive board member. Paragraph 13(1) of Schedule 1 to the School Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013 provides that regulations made under section 19(2) and (3) of the Education Act 2002 do not apply in relation to IEBs. However, paragraph 13(2) of Schedule 1 to the School Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013 does allow the Welsh Ministers to apply regulations made under those 2002 Act powers to IEBs except for regulations which make provision about the governing body constitution. Further paragraph 13(3) of Schedule 1 to the 2013 Act states that the Instrument of Government of the school does not have effect in relation to the IEB insofar as it relates to the constitution of the governing body. In other respects the Instrument of Government does have effect.

5.22 The IEB will take on the responsibilities of a normally constituted governing body, including the management of the budget, the curriculum, staffing, pay and performance management and the appointment of the headteacher and deputy headteacher. An IEB may recommend to a local authority, or recommend that the Welsh Ministers should give a direction to the local authority, that a school should be closed. However, the IEB cannot itself publish proposals for closure. If, following the statutory consultation and other procedures, it is agreed that the school will be closed the IEB should continue to hold office until the implementation date of the proposal.
5.23 In addition, the members of an IEB appointed for a school with a religious character will take on the powers and responsibilities of charity trustees of that charity. The Charity Commission for England and Wales publishes information on the responsibilities of charity trustees on its website [www.charitycommission.gov.uk](http://www.charitycommission.gov.uk). This applies to schools with charitable status - foundation, voluntary or foundation special school. (as defined under Section 23 of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998)

5.24 The governing bodies of schools with a religious character are exempt charities; they do not register with the Commission; the Welsh Ministers are their principal (i.e. charity regulator). See Charities and charity trustees – an introduction for school governors. [Setting up and running a charity: Trustee role and board - GOV.UK](https://www.gov.uk/topic/running-charity/trustee-role-board)

**Membership of the IEB**

5.25 As set out in Schedule 1 to the 2013 Act, the number of interim executive members must not be less than two; once the IEB has been established, further members can be appointed at any time. An IEB should be a small, focused group appointed for the full period which it is expected to take to turn the school around. Members of an IEB should be chosen on a case by case basis, depending on the needs of the school. Existing governors may be appointed to the IEB.

5.26 Interim executive board members may be removed in limited circumstances. This can be for incapacity or misbehaviour or where their written notice of appointment provides for termination by the appropriate authority on notice. The appropriate authority may be the local authority or Welsh Ministers depending on who made the appointment.

5.27 The local authority should produce a written notice of appointment for each member of the IEB. Copies of this notice should be sent to all other members of the IEB; the schools existing governing body; the Welsh Ministers; and, in the case of a school with a religious character, the appropriate religious authority or other appointing authority. A local authority or the Welsh Ministers may choose to pay interim executive members such remuneration and allowances as is considered appropriate.

5.28 All charity trustees can reclaim out of pocket expenses, but most are unpaid. Where there is a power to pay trustees (as in this case under the Schools Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013), it must be exercised only in the interests of the charity. The level of payment must be justified in the interests of the charity (although in this case the local authority rather than the charity would be paying). The trustees must also manage their conflict of interest. This will mean:

- Trustees (governors or members of an IEB) must absent themselves from any decision affecting their payment;
• Usually, only a minority of trustees may be paid; there must always be enough unconflicted trustees to be able to make a valid decision.

5.29 For further guidance see: Payments to charity trustees: what the rules are - Detailed guidance - GOV.UK, https://www.gov.uk/guidance/payments-to-charity-trustees-what-the-rules-are

Transition from an IEB to a normally constituted governing body

5.30 The transition from an IEB to a normally constituted governing body needs to be carefully managed. Schedule 1 to the 2013 Act, provides that arrangements for the transition may be prescribed by the Welsh Ministers in regulations.

5.31 Under the 'School Governance (Transition from an Interim Executive Board)(Wales) Regulations 2012, a local authority must make arrangements to establish a shadow governing body (SGB) at least 6 months before the IEB ceases. This enables the “shadow” governing body to work alongside the IEB before assuming the full powers of a governing body. The SGB will be constituted in the same way as a schools governing body. The IEB may delegate functions to the SGB during this transition period. When the IEB steps down, the SGB is treated as if it were the normally constituted governing body of the school.

5.32 If the date when the IEB will cease was not given in the notice of establishment, the local authority must send a second notice to the shadow governing body specifying the date when the IEB will be discontinued. This notice should be copied to all members of the IEB, the Welsh Ministers and in the case of schools with a religious character the appropriate religious authority.

Associated resources

5.33 Questions and Answers in respect of IEBs can be found in Annex 1.

5.34 Interim Executive Board application form and guidance notes are located in Annex 2.

Power to give directions and take steps

5.35 Section 9 enables a local authority to give directions to the governing body or head teacher or take any other steps where a school is eligible for intervention. The Direction must be in writing and may be varied or revoked by a future direction.
**Purpose of the intervention:** To allow local authorities to intervene in a proportionate and suitable way by directing a governing body to take certain action, or desist from taking certain action, without recourse to more extensive forms of intervention.

**Best used:** Where the governing body has failed to exercise its functions or is exercising them unreasonably.

**Additional requirements before using power:** None

**Timeframe for the exercise of powers of intervention**

5.36  See paragraph 5.33 and 5.34
6. Welsh Ministers’ powers and types of intervention

6.1 The Welsh Ministers are also able to exercise powers of intervention. However, the local authority is expected to intervene initially. Local authorities are expected to make decisive and effective use of their own intervention powers (see Chapter 2). Welsh Ministers will only use their powers where the local authority has failed to do so, or done so inadequately.

6.2 Schools are eligible for intervention by Welsh Ministers where:

- the school has failed to comply with a warning notice from the local authority to the Welsh Ministers satisfaction within the compliance period and they are satisfied that the local authority has not taken, and is not likely to take adequate action to deal with the grounds for intervention;
- the school has failed to comply with a warning notice from the Welsh Ministers within the compliance period;
- the Welsh Ministers are satisfied that one or more of the grounds for intervention 1-6 exist and there is a related risk to the health or safety of any person that calls for urgent intervention (no warning notice required);
- the school requires significant improvement (no warning notice required);
- the school requires special measures (no warning notice required).

Warning notice

6.3 The 2013 Act introduces a warning notice procedure for Welsh Ministers' intervention where none previously existed. Welsh Ministers may give a warning notice to the governing body of a maintained school where one or more of the grounds for intervention 1-6 exist and the local authority has either not given a warning notice to the governing body of the school or has given a warning notice but in terms which the Welsh Ministers consider to be inadequate.

6.4 If Welsh Ministers issue a warning notice to a school any intervention action taken by the local authority (including a warning notice issued by the local authority) ends.

6.5 Welsh Ministers would take into account the whole range of qualitative and quantitative evidence available, including prior action taken by the local authority when forming a view (and whether to intervene) as to the most appropriate means of action to take. In practice, Welsh Government officials would consult with local authorities regarding schools that are causing concern which are eligible for intervention by Welsh Ministers to find out what, if any, action the local authority has put in place to offer early support to the school.

6.6 If Welsh Ministers issue a warning notice, in addition to giving the governing body the warning notice, Welsh Ministers must also give a copy to the
headteacher, the local authority, and in the case of a foundation or voluntary aided or voluntary controlled school, the person who appoints the foundation governors and the appropriate religious authority (in the case of a school with a religious character).

**Timeframe for the exercise of powers of intervention**

6.7 Where the school is eligible for intervention as a result of being given a warning notice, there is a flexible window based on the Welsh Ministers keeping the situation under review and requiring them to be satisfied that the issues have been dealt with or that the use of their powers would not be appropriate for any other reason before closing the window.

6.8 The Welsh Ministers' power to intervene in the school remains until they give notice to the governing body and the local authority in writing that the grounds for intervention have been dealt with or that use of their powers of intervention would not be appropriate for any other reason. A copy of this notice must be given to the headteacher and in the case of a school with a religious character the appropriate religious body.

**Welsh Ministers' powers**

**Requirement to require a governing body to secure advice or collaborate**

6.9 Section 12 of the 2013 Act allows Welsh Ministers to direct the governing body to enter into a contract or other arrangement with a specified person (who may be the governing body of another school) for the provision to the governing body of specified services of an advisory nature or require them to collaborate.

**Additional requirement:**

Before the Welsh Ministers can exercise this intervention power they must consult the governing body, and in the case of a school with a religious character – the appropriate religious authority (if applicable) and the body that appoints foundation governors.

In choosing a suitable partner Welsh Ministers would be expected to take into account the charitable/religious character of a voluntary aided, voluntary controlled or foundation school.
The appointment of additional governors

6.10 Section 13 of the 2013 Act allows the Welsh Ministers to appoint additional governors at any time a maintained school is eligible for intervention; the Welsh Ministers may appoint any such number of additional governors as they see fit.

6.11 Section 13(3) of the Act allows Welsh Ministers to nominate one of those governors appointed to be the chair of a governing body in place of the person who has been elected as chair, and section 13(5) allows Welsh Ministers to determine the period of time that this governor, if elected, should remain in post as chair. However, as with the election of the chair of a governing body in other circumstances, Welsh Ministers can only nominate, and it is for the governing body to then elect the chair from those nominated for the post (which may include nominations from other members of the governing body).

Requirement before using this power

Before the Welsh Ministers can exercise this intervention power in the case of voluntary aided school they must consult the appropriate religious authority (if applicable) and the body that appoints foundation governors.

All school governors of a foundation or voluntary school including those appointed by the Welsh Ministers, are also charity trustees in law. They must comply with their legal responsibilities as charity trustees and the governing document of the charity. They have an overriding duty to act in the interests of the charity, not to represent or act on the direction of the Welsh Ministers.

6.12 The Welsh Ministers may pay any governor appointed such remuneration and allowances as is considered appropriate. All charity trustees can reclaim out of pocket expenses, but most are unpaid. Where there is a power to pay trustees (as in this case under the School Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013), it must be exercised only in the interests of the charity, although the Act is clear that the Welsh Government and not the charity would be paying). The trustees must also manage their conflict of interest. This will mean:

- Trustees (governors or members of an IEB) must absent themselves from any decision affecting their payment;
- Usually, only a minority of trustees may be paid; there must always be enough unconflicted trustees to be able to make a valid decision.
For further guidance see: Trustee Expenses and Payments http://www.charitycommission.gov.uk/Publications/cc11.aspx

and Conflicts of interest


6.13 The legislation provides that a voluntary aided school is not authorised to appoint foundation governors for the purpose of outnumbering the other governors appointed by the Welsh Ministers.

**The appointment of an Interim Executive Board**

6.14 Under Section 14 of the 2013 Act the Welsh Ministers may require the governing body of a school to be constituted as an IEB in accordance with Schedule 1 to the Act where the school is eligible for intervention. The Welsh Ministers will normally only use this power if the local authority is unable or unwilling to make such arrangements itself, and the action is judged to be necessary to secure rapid improvement of the school.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional requirements before using this power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before the Welsh Ministers can exercise this intervention power they must consult the local authority, the governing body, and – in the case of a foundation or voluntary school – the appropriate religious body (if applicable) and the person or body who appoints the foundation governors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This requirement to consult does not apply if the local authority has already done so in connection with a proposal of its own to appoint interim executive members.

The same rules of charity law apply to members of an IEB constituted for a Foundation or Voluntary Aided or Voluntary Controlled School as to individual governors appointed for such a school.

**Directing the federation of schools**

6.15 Under Section 15 of the 2013 Act the Welsh Ministers may direct a local authority; a governing body of a maintained school or a governing body of a federation to provide for the federation of the school causing concern with another maintained school(s) or with an existing federation. In addition the Welsh Ministers may direct that a school which is causing concern and which is part of a federation leave that federation. Effective federations can drive
school improvement, enabling schools to share resources, best practice, facilities and expertise.

**Additional requirements before using this power**

Before the Welsh Ministers can exercise this intervention power they must consult the local authority, the governing bodies concerned and – in the case of foundation or voluntary schools – the appropriate religious body or other appointing authority.

In the case of Foundation or Voluntary schools the Welsh Ministers would be expected to take account of charity law requirements when exercising this function.

**Direct the closure of a school**

6.16 Section 16 enables the Welsh Ministers to direct a local authority to cease to maintain a school where that school is eligible for intervention by virtue of it requiring special measures. This will usually be done where there is no prospect of the school making sufficient improvement in an acceptable timeframe and based on a range of evidence.

**Additional requirement before using this power**

Before the Welsh Ministers can exercise this intervention power they must consult the local authority, the governing body, in the case of foundation or voluntary school – the person who appoints the foundation governors or appropriate religious body (if applicable) and any other persons the Welsh Ministers consider appropriate.

6.17 On giving a direction to discontinue the school, the Welsh Ministers must also give notice in writing of the direction to the governing body of the school and its headteacher.

6.18 If the direction to close a school has been given, the local authority will be expected to meet any costs of terminating staff contracts and make appropriate arrangements for the pupils' continuing education, whether in a replacement school, or though transition to an alternative school.
General Power to give directions and take steps

6.19 Section 17 enables the Welsh Ministers to give directions to the governing body or the headteacher or take any other steps to deal with the grounds for intervention. It is best used where the governing body or headteacher has failed to exercise its functions or is exercising them unreasonably.

6.20 All Directions under this chapter must be in writing and may be varied or revoked by a future direction.
7. Schools requiring special measures or significant improvement

7.1 The procedures and timescales in this Chapter apply to inspection of all maintained schools. For a school sixth form see also Chapter 5.

**When does a school require special measures or significant improvement?**

7.2 If, following an inspection under Section 28 of the Education Act 2005 or an area inspection under Section 83 of the Learning and Skills Act 2000, a Reporting Inspector considers a school to be causing concern a judgement will be given that the school requires ‘special measures' or ‘significant improvement’.

7.3 Schools requiring special measures or significant improvement can also be identified through any inspection or monitoring visit carried out by Estyn under Section 24 of the Education Act 2005.

7.4 Estyn’s handbooks ‘Guidance on the Inspection of Primary Schools’, ‘Guidance on the Inspection of Secondary Schools’, ‘Guidance on the inspection of Special Schools’ and ‘Guidance for the inspection of pupil referral units’ set out the criteria used by inspectors in reaching a judgement that a school requires special measures or significant improvement. They can be viewed on Estyn’s website through the attached link www.estyn.gov.uk.

**What happens when it is judged that a school requires special measures or significant improvement?**

7.5 As part of oral feedback at the end of the inspection the Reporting Inspector will inform the head teacher that in view of serious deficiencies identified as a result of the inspection it is proposed that the school requires special measures or significant improvement. This meeting may include a representative from the school’s governing body or the appropriate authority for the school. The governing body must invite the local authority and where appropriate, the diocese to be present at all or part of the feedback meeting. The local authority may however wish to be represented by a regional consortia representative.

**Timescales for preparation of inspection report and action plans**

7.6 The Reporting Inspector has 35 working days from the date on which the Section 28 school inspection was completed to produce the final inspection report and summary. The inspection report and summary will be subject to Estyn's quality assurance and moderation processes. Estyn will provide the school with a draft of the inspection report to help check the factual content.
The school has 5 working days to consider the report and provide comments on factual accuracy.

7.7 The inspection report will be submitted to Her Majesty’s Chief Inspector (HMCI) who will decide whether to support the inspection team’s view on the need for special measures or significant improvement.

**What happens if HMCI disagrees with the findings of a Reporting Inspector?**

7.8 The school only requires special measures or significant improvement if HMCI agrees with the Reporting Inspector’s judgement. If HMCI disagrees with the findings then HMCI’s judgement takes precedence. HMCI may form the view that a school judged by the Reporting Inspector as requiring special measures is a cause for concern but decide to place the school in the lesser category of requiring significant improvement. The HMCI may also place the school in a more severe category if a school is initially identified as requiring significant improvement but needs special measures.

**What happens when HMCI agrees that a school requires special measures or significant improvement?**

7.9 If a school requires special measures or significant improvement, in accordance with Section 37 of the Education Act 2005, HMCI has a duty to notify the Welsh Ministers and the local authority without delay. All inspection reports are published electronically on Estyn’s website and can be accessed through the attached link [http://www.estyn.gov.uk](http://www.estyn.gov.uk).

**Action required by the school once found to require special measures or significant improvement**

**Action plan**

7.10 The governing body is required to prepare a written statement of the action it proposes to take in the light of the key issues identified in the inspection report. The written statement is usually known as the Post Inspection Action Plan and should also set out the period within which each action identified will be addressed. The local authority, and consortia should work closely with the head teacher and governing body of the school and where applicable, the appropriate religious authority to provide support in drawing up the action plan. It is expected that work on the action plan should begin as soon as the school receives early warning of it being identified as a cause for concern by the Reporting Inspector or HMI at the end of the inspection.
7.11 Currently the Action Plan must be completed within 20 working days from the date the governing body received the final inspection report. However, Welsh Ministers may specify a shorter timescale if they are of the opinion that the urgency of the case requires a shorter period. In these cases, Estyn should write to Welsh Ministers immediately following a school’s inspection with evidence to suggest that a school’s action plan should be completed within a shorter period of time. Welsh Ministers will consider the evidence presented and write to Estyn, the school, the local authority and diocesan authority if required to advise of their decision.

7.12 Schools should aim to make a draft of the Action Plan available to Estyn for comment at least 10 working days before the statutory deadline. This allows time for Estyn to write to the school with any suggested improvements to the Plan. However, the governing body must submit the Action Plan to Estyn by the statutory deadline.

What if the school does not have a delegated budget?

7.13 Where the school does not have a delegated budget the local authority is responsible for producing and submitting the Action Plan. The Action Plan must also be made available to the governing body in these circumstances.

Destination of the final action plan

7.14 A copy of the final action plan must be submitted to HMCI, the Welsh Ministers, the local authority and the school staff. In addition, the governing body is required to:

- make a copy of the Action Plan available for inspection by members of the public at such times and at such place as may be reasonable;
- provide a copy, free of charge to any person who asks for one or in those cases set out in the Education (School Inspection) (Wales) Regulations 2006 on payment of a small fee (not exceeding the cost of supply);
- take such steps as are reasonably practicable to secure that every parent of a registered pupil at the school receives a copy of the Action Plan or summary of the plan as soon as is reasonably practicable.

7.15 With regard to foundation governors, the appropriate authority must also send a copy of the summary to the person or the appropriate religious authority who appoints the governors.

What should the Action Plan set out?

7.16 The Action Plan should set out the work proposed to enable the school to make sufficient improvement to address the deficiencies identified by Estyn as soon as possible. Most schools are expected to make the required
improvements within one year of being found to require significant improvement or within 2 years of requiring special measures. The format of the Action Plan is a matter for schools. As a minimum, however, for each area for improvement identified in the Inspection report the Action Plan we would expect the action plan to specify:

- the action the school proposes to take;
- the support the school will access to address the area for improvement;
- lead responsibility for the action proposed;
- the timescale for the work to be completed with key milestones;
- success criteria, including targets for improvements in learner outcomes, against which progress will be judged;
- resources to be applied to the work;
- how progress will be monitored e.g. who, when and how;
- how it will inform parents and carers about the actions planned for the school; and
- how it will ascertain parents' views on these actions and how it will take those views into account.

What action is required by local authorities and when?

7.17 Immediately following the end of a school’s inspection the local authority and regional consortia should work closely with the head teacher and governing body of the school and, if appropriate, the religious authority, in order to produce coherent, complimentary and timely plans of action and begin their implementation as soon as possible.

7.18 Under Section 40 of the Education Act 2005, the local authority is required to prepare a written statement of any action it proposes to take in the light of the school inspection report that identifies a school as requiring special measures or in need of significant improvement. The written statement should also set out the period within which it is proposed to take such action.

7.19 The written statement of support must be produced within 10 working days from the date that the local authority received a copy of the school’s Action Plan or within 12 working days from the date by which the appropriate authority is required to have distributed the school’s Action Plan (whichever is the earlier). If the local authority does not propose to take action it must still produce a written statement setting out its reasons. Whilst the statutory timescale is 10 working days it would be good practice for authorities to work towards production of the statement in 5 working days to accelerate the pace of supporting improvement.
7.20 The local authority must submit a copy of the statement to HMCI, the Welsh Ministers and, in the case of a voluntary aided school, to the person who appoints the foundation governors and, if different, also to the appropriate appointing authority (i.e. the religious body). Where the local authority is the appropriate authority for the school (i.e. where the school does not have a delegated budget), there is no requirement to prepare a written statement (as the local authority will have prepared the school’s Action Plan).

7.21 Welsh Ministers may, direct a shorter period for preparation of the written statement where they are of the opinion that the urgency of the case so requires. Welsh Ministers may seek the advice of Estyn regarding this matter. The time periods referred to in relation to the completion of written statements, exclude Saturdays, Sundays, Good Friday, Christmas Day and any other bank holidays but do not exclude any other school holidays.

7.22 It is best practice for the school, local authority, consortia and, where appropriate, the appropriate religious body to work closely to prepare complementary and aligned school and local authority plans. It is important that the local authority’s written statement is closely tailored to the specific needs of each school.

What should the local authority statement contain?

7.23 The format for the written statement is a matter for each local authority.

However, it is suggested that the statement should provide:

- an assessment of the governing body’s Action Plan and the school’s ability to implement the plan;
- detail on the action the local authority plans to take to address the areas for improvement identified in the inspection report;
- identified responsibilities for ensuring the action takes place;
- timescales with key milestones;
- success criteria including targets for improvements in learner outcomes against which progress will be judged;
- detail on how progress will be monitored e.g. who, when and how;
- resources to be applied to the work;
- whether the local authority intends to use its powers of intervention to require the governing body to secure advice or collaborate, give directions to the governing body or head teacher and take any other steps, appoint additional governors, withdraw the school’s delegated budget or replace the governing body with an IEB; and
- how it will inform parents and carers about the actions planned for the school. How it will ascertain parents’ views on these actions and how it will take those views into account.
Who approves the school action plan and local authority statement?

7.24 Estyn will evaluate the school’s Action Plan and local authority’s written statement and write to the governing body, local authority and Welsh Ministers to advise whether the action plan and statement are considered fit for purpose to secure the necessary improvements within a reasonable timescale. If Estyn does not consider the school’s Action Plan and local authority’s statement of support to be fit for purpose, it will require them to be amended and re-submitted until Estyn is satisfied that plans are appropriate to bring about the necessary improvements within a reasonable timescale. In this context it is anticipated that in most instances schools should aim to make sufficient progress to be removed from special measures within 2 years and to be removed from requiring significant improvement within 1 year, but each case must be taken on merit.

Monitoring of schools causing concern

Schools requiring special measures

7.25 For schools requiring special measures, Estyn will establish an appropriate programme of monitoring following the agreement of the schools’ Action Plan and the local authority’s written statement. Usually these visits will take place every 4-6 months. Estyn will assess the progress made by the school and the local authority in implementing the action plan and the written statement to address the issues identified in the school inspection report. Estyn will write to the Chair of the governing body, the local authority, and if appropriate, the relevant diocesan authority and the Welsh Ministers following each monitoring visit to report the progress made by the school since the initial inspection.

7.26 The letter will include HMCI’s judgement as to whether the school still requires special measures. Estyn will continue to carry out monitoring visits until such time as HMCI is of the opinion that the school has improved sufficiently to be removed from special measures.

7.27 Where progress is assessed as insufficient and the school has been in special measures for two years or more, and progress has been slow the Welsh Ministers may request full details from local authorities of any action they have taken and support provided and whether they have used their statutory powers of intervention. Local authorities and the Welsh Ministers may consider the need to invoke their statutory powers of intervention in respect of the school.

7.28 Under regulation 20 of the Education (Induction Arrangements for School Teachers) (Wales) Regulations 2005 a newly qualified teacher may not serve their induction period in a school requiring special measures. This is the case unless one of Her Majesty’s Inspectors of Education and Training in Wales
(HMI) certifies in writing that the school is suitable for providing induction. If it is necessary for schools to consider appointing a newly qualified teacher in this regard, the school should contact Estyn at the earliest opportunity for advice and guidance.

**Schools requiring significant improvement**

7.29 For schools requiring significant improvement, in most instances, Estyn will monitor the school's progress in bringing about the required improvements approximately twelve to eighteen months after publication of the inspection report. A report of the visit will be sent to the Chair of the governing body, the local authority, if appropriate, the relevant diocesan authority and to the Welsh Ministers. The letter will include HMCI's judgement as to whether the school should be removed from the list of schools requiring significant improvement.

7.30 Where Estyn considers that suitable progress has been made to address the areas of concern identified in the inspection HMCI may judge that the school can be removed from the list of schools requiring significant improvement. If progress is insufficient, HMCI will give consideration to the school requiring special measures. In exceptional cases, where a school has made some progress and is nearly, but not quite, at a point where it can be removed from the list of schools requiring significant improvement, HMCI will consider one further monitoring visit after a further 6 months. If the school has made suitable progress, Estyn will report as in paragraph 7.26 above.
8. Parental engagement

8.1 Strong parental engagement can have a positive impact on the morale of the school and its community, the school's overall performance, and the educational achievements of individual pupils.

8.2 The Welsh Government expects local authorities to ensure that parents are properly informed and engaged when their child's school is causing concern. We expect the school action plan and local authority statement of support for a school requiring significant improvement or special measures to set out how they will communicate with and ascertain the views of parents at the school.

8.3 Some local authorities already have experience of good practice in the engagement of parents and carers where a school goes into an Estyn category, for example:

- holding a meeting for parents to explain the inspection findings and outlining the plans for improvement;
- producing a regular newsletter to update parents on the progress the school is making;
- a regular parents' forum where parents can talk to one another and to a senior member of staff or a governor about making things better at the school.
- groups to involve parents on particular issues which the school needs to address; for example, a group of parents, staff governors and an education welfare officer meeting together to work on raising school attendance.
Annex 1: Further guidance on Interim Executive Boards (IEBs)

Interim Executive Boards (IEBs) – Questions and answers

1. The 2013 Act gives local authorities and the Welsh Ministers powers to provide for a school governing body to consist of an IEB. The powers to appoint an IEB are intended to be used in circumstances where a governing body is judged to be incapable of improving performance and turning a school around even with support. IEBs are governed by provisions set out at Sections 7 and 14 of and Schedule 1 to the 2013 Act. The effect of appointing an IEB is that all existing governors cease to hold office from the date appointed.

What is the procedure for a local authority to appoint an IEB?

2. The local authority must obtain the consent of the Welsh Ministers before it can appoint an IEB. The local authority should give a written explanation to the governing body (and where appropriate other relevant bodies including the religious authority) on the intention to apply for an IEB and maintain details of their response.

3. A local authority may apply to the Welsh Ministers for permission to replace the school’s governing body with an IEB where specific grounds of intervention exist and this is deemed as the most appropriate form of intervention. The local authority must obtain the consent of the Welsh Ministers before serving notice on the governing body that an IEB will be appointed.

4. The IEB is appointed by the local authority and assumes all of the responsibilities of a governing body. With regard to foundation and voluntary schools, the local authority is required to consult the diocese or other appointing authority before applying to the Welsh Ministers for permission to put an IEB in place.

5. The IEB is charged with overseeing the development and implementation of work to ensure that pupils are provided with an acceptable standard of education. The local authority needs to be clear what the issues are within the school and relay them to the IEB to ensure that the IEB is clear of its role and purpose. The local authority also needs to consider what level of support and advice is appropriate to be provided to IEB members before establishing the IEB. The IEB will require additional resources from the local authority to deal with queries, issues, meetings and the authority may consider setting up a service level agreement to support this resource. After a period the IEB is replaced by a normally constituted governing body which acts in a shadow capacity before assuming the full powers of a governing body.
Key facts

What is the role of an IEB?

6. The IEB should be considered to be the governing body of the school for the time it is in place. It will take on all the responsibilities of a governing body, including the management of the budget, the curriculum, staffing, pay and performance management and the appointment of the head teacher and deputy head teacher. The IEB’s main functions are to secure a sound basis for future improvement in the school and promote high standards of educational achievement. It is important that the school’s headteacher fully understands the role and purpose of the IEB. The IEB may determine its own procedures and make such arrangements at it thinks fit for the discharge of its functions.

7. Schedule 1 of the Schools Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013 provides that Regulations made under section 19 (2) and (3) of the Education Act 2002 do not apply in relation to IEBs. However, paragraph 13 (3) of Schedule 1 to the School Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013 does allow the Welsh Ministers to apply regulations made under those 2002 Act powers to IEBs except for regulations which make provision about the governing body constitution. Further, paragraph 13(3) of Schedule 1 to the 2013 Act states that the Instrument of Government of the school does not have effect in relation to the IEB insofar as it relates to the constitution of the governing body. In other respects the Instrument of Government does not have effect.

What will be the composition of the IEB?

8. An IEB will be made up of a number of Interim Executive Members (IEMs). There is no maximum number of IEMs for an IEB but there must be at least two. However, in applying to the Welsh Ministers to establish an IEB the local authority will need to set out the range of expertise that will be drawn together and why the authority believes that the IEB will be better placed than the current governing body to secure improvement. Applications will need to be supported by a brief outline of the skills and experience of the proposed IEMs. An existing governor may be appointed as an IEB member. The appropriate authority may nominate one of the IEMs to be chair of the IEB. Every appointment of IEM must be made in writing setting out the terms of the appointment. The document needs to be clear what the role of the IEM will be. An IEM may be removed from office at any time by the appropriate authority for misbehaviour or for incapacity and the appropriate authority shall also be able to terminate his or her appointment by notice.
What will be the term of appointment for IEMs?

9. It is anticipated that members will be appointed for the full period which it is expected to take to turn the school around.

What will be the selection criteria for IEMs?

10. IEMs should be chosen on a case by case basis depending on the school’s needs. Existing governors may be appointed to the IEB. Once the IEB has been established, further IEMs can be appointed at any time. IEMs may be removed by the local authority. The local authority should produce a notice of appointment for each IEM. Copies of this notice should be sent to all other members of the IEB, the school’s governing body, the Welsh Ministers, and - in the case of schools with a religious character – the appropriate appointing religious authority. The local authority may also nominate an IEM to be chair of the IEB.

Remuneration

11. Local authorities may choose to pay IEMs whatever amount they consider appropriate.

12. IEMs appointed for Foundation or Voluntary Schools are automatically charity trustees. All charity trustees can reclaim out of pocket expenses, but most are unpaid. Where there is a power to pay trustees (as in this case under the Schools Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013), it must be exercised only in the interests of the charity. The level of payment must be justified in the interests of the charity (although in this case the local authority or Welsh Ministers rather than the charity would be paying). The trustees must also manage their conflict of interest. This will mean:

- Trustees (governors or members of an IEB) must absent themselves from any decision affecting their payment;
- Usually, only a minority of trustees may be paid; there must always be enough unconflicted trustees to be able to make a valid decision.

Delegated budget

13. An IEB has a right to a delegated budget. If the school's budget has previously been withdrawn from the governing body, then the local authority must restore the budget from the date when the IEB commences its work. If a
notice has been given to the normally constituted governing body specifying a date when it is proposed to withdraw the right to a delegated budget, the notice will cease to be valid from the date of commencement of the IEB.

Can an IEB recommend closure of a school?

14. An IEB may recommend to a local authority that a school should be closed. However, the IEB cannot itself publish proposals for closure. If, following the statutory consultation and other procedures, it is agreed that the school will be closed; the IEB should continue to hold office until the implementation date of the proposal.

Appointment of an IEB at a foundation or voluntary school

15. Where a local authority seeks to appoint an IEB at a foundation or voluntary school, it must consult the appropriate religious body or other appointing authority before applying to the Welsh Ministers. Ministers will expect to see evidence of the full involvement of the religious body or appointing authority in any decision to establish an IEB.

16. The members of an IEB appointed for a Foundation or Voluntary School will automatically be charity trustees. The governing bodies of Foundation and Voluntary Schools are exempt charities; they do not register with the Commission; the Welsh Ministers are their principal (i.e. charity regulator).

17. IEMs will be required to respect the religious ethos of the school and ensure that the character of the school is maintained.

Transition from an IEB to a normally constituted governing body

18. The transition from an IEB to a normally constituted governing body needs to be carefully managed. Schedule 1A to the School Standards and Framework Act 1998, as amended by the Education Act 2002, provides that arrangements for the transition may be prescribed by the Welsh Ministers in regulations. Under the School Governance (Transition from an Interim Executive Board)(Wales) Regulations 2012, the process will begin with a period when a “shadow” governing body works alongside the IEB before assuming the full powers of a governing body. The LA needs to be clear what action will be undertaken by which party during this period.

19. If the date when the IEB will cease work was not given in the notice of establishment, the local authority must send a second notice to the shadow governing body specifying the date when the IEB will be discontinued. This notice should be copied to all members of the IEB, the Welsh Ministers and in the case of foundation or voluntary schools the diocese or other appropriate appointing authority.
How long before the shadow governing body assumes full powers of a governing body?

20. The “shadow” governing body should work alongside the IEB for a minimum of six months before taking full responsibility as the governing body of the school. In some cases, it may be necessary for a shadow governing body to work alongside an IEB for longer than six months. For example, a full academic year would allow the shadow governing body to experience a complete year of the planning and management cycle.

21. Members of the shadow governing body should attend IEB meetings as observers to help smooth the school's return to normal governance arrangements.

Application to Welsh Ministers to establish an IEB

22. A local authority must obtain the consent of the Welsh Ministers before it can appoint an IEB (using the application form at annex 2). When a local authority wishes to replace a governing body with an IEB, it must:

(i) consult the existing governing body and, in the case of a foundation or voluntary school, the diocese or other appointing authority; A fair consultation must be undertaken when proposals are at a formative stage and include sufficient detail to allow those affected to give a considered response. The consultation needs to set out clearly the issues at the school and provide sufficient reasons why the local authority considers that establishment of an IEB is the most appropriate form of intervention to address the issues at the school. A minimum of 14 days should be allowed for parties to respond, but each case may be different and the length of time for consultation may vary.”

(ii) Complete and send an application to establish an IEB to the Welsh Government – using the application form at Annex 2. In the application, it is important for the local authority to clearly set out the support and advice it has provided to the school before reaching the decision to apply for an IEB and to be explicit in its reasons and have strong grounds why an IEB is the most appropriate intervention to address the issues at the school.

and, after obtaining approval from Welsh Ministers.

(iii) write to the governing body to provide notice that the IEB will be established (a “notice of establishment”). This letter should state when the IEB will commence, and should indicate when it is anticipated that the IEB will cease to function. In the case of foundation or voluntary schools, this letter should be copied to the diocese or other appropriate appointing authority.
23. For further guidance please contact:

Education Business Planning and Governance Division
The Education Directorate
Welsh Government
Crown Buildings
Cathays Park
Cardiff
CF10 3NQ
#### Annex 2: Application form for Welsh Ministers’ consent to appoint an IEB

**Application to Welsh Ministers to replace a School Governing Body with an Interim Executive Board**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LA School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Brief description of the school, including status (community, foundation, aided), location number on roll.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Date of Warning Notice to governing body/Report from Estyn placing the school in the category of special measures/significant improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Background and reasons for application, to include details of all offers of support to the school/consultation taken prior to application for IEB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Date the Governing Body was first informed of application for IEB, including dates of appropriate consultation meetings and minutes of relevant meetings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Governing Body’s response to IEB proposal (or attach separately).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Religious authority’s response to IEB proposal (in the case of a foundation or voluntary school which is a Church in Wales school or a Roman Catholic Church school) (or attach separately).

7. In the case of all foundation or voluntary schools, the reaction to the proposal of the person or persons by whom the foundation governors are appointed.

8. List of proposed members of the IEB, with a brief outline of their suitability, skills and experience (or attach separately).

9. Proposed support to be provided by / on behalf of local authority.

10. Planned life of IEB and plans for exit strategy (or attach separately):

Signed Dated Full Name: Position
Part 2 – for Chair of Governors to Complete

Do you support the application for an IEB? Yes/No
If no please state reasons below (or attach separately):

Signed:
Dated:
Name:
Position:

Part 3 – For a representative of the appointing authority to complete (where applicable)
Do you support the application for an IEB? Yes/No
If no please state reasons below (or attach separately):

Signed:
Dated:
Name:
Position:

Guidance notes for completion

The background and reasons for the application should outline:

The brief history of the improvement issues facing the school and the key steps taken by local authorities over last 3 years to tackle them/details of partnership working with the religious body if appropriate to address weaknesses.

The local authorities’ view of the governing body’s commitment and capacity to tackle issues and take advice, for example, identifying whether it is a block to school improvement (and if this is intentional), and why the local authority feels it should be replaced.

Questions 4 & 5, 6, 7 – In answering these questions, the local authority should set out what steps it has taken to inform governing body/religious body appointing authority of its intention to replace it with an IEB. It should record the reaction/response of governing body/religious body to the IEB proposal; including the nature and extent of formal consultation (this should include evidence that the local
authority has informed each member of the governing body of their intention to establish an IEB and allowed a reasonable time for the governing body to respond).

If there is no formal response from the governing body, the local authority must endeavour to include a letter from the Chair of Governors to the effect that consultation has occurred. If the Chair of Governors does not respond to this request, the local authority must state explicitly why they are not able to provide evidence of consultation, for example, that the governing body are refusing to discuss the issue.

Question 8 – The answer to this question should give the reasons why the proposed membership has sufficient capacity to allow Welsh Ministers to feel confident that the school will make the necessary progress in a timely manner. The proposed membership should reflect a range of expertise and represent different stakeholders’ interests. The local authority should give reasons for the choice of the IEB Chair; ideally this should be someone independent from the school and local authority.

Further advice

Local authorities should note that applications cannot be processed unless the necessary statutory processes have been followed correctly, which includes the processes for issuing a warning notice (where relevant), and undertaking consultation before applying for an IEB.

In addition, the following should be noted:

1. Applications should be succinct and with specific evidence presented as referenced annexes.

2. The local authority should be able to demonstrate: that recovery/improvement is more likely as a result of the IEB being established proper and timely steps have been taken to support the school to address its improvement needs proper and timely consultation has occurred with the Governing Body and other relevant authorities e.g. the appropriate religious authority.

3. Where the local authority sees the establishment of an IEB as a means of successfully establishing a collaborative arrangement to improve the school, it will be expected that the local authority has fully outlined the advantages of the collaborative arrangement to the Governing Body, and it is clear that governors are not prepared to take the steps necessary to implement this solution.
Annex 3: Process for schools requiring special measures or significant improvement

1. Inspection by Estyn
2. Special Measures/ Significant Improvement required
3. HMCI notified
4. HMI moderation
   - For s28 inspections 2005 Act, the reporting inspector must submit report to HMCI within 35 working days of the end of inspection; report required with 70 days for an s83 inspection.
5. Estyn inform Welsh Ministers and LA
   - For schools requiring special measures, Estyn writes electronically to local and regional AMs
6. Inspection Report published
   - GB Action Plan required within 20 working days (draft to Estyn at least 10 working days before statutory deadline)
7. To HMCI and Welsh Ministers
   - Estyn evaluate school Action Plan/LA statement and write to LA and Welsh Government
8. Implementation of school/ LA Action Plan/statement
9. HMCI monitors progress
10. Estyn writes with progress report to LA, school and Welsh Government
11. Welsh Ministers consider use of intervention powers if WM have concerns they may write at this point to the LA for clarification re action to be taken
12. LA statement required within 10-12 working days (as appropriate) of school action plan
13. Verbal report by reporting inspector to school
14. HMCI – early notification to WG
15. Unsatisfactory
   - Special Measures continue or other intervention options considered; school requiring Significant Improvement may be moved into Special Measures where progress made is judged insufficient.
16. Satisfactory
   - School removed from Special Measures/Significant Improvement where progress in making the required improvements is judged satisfactory.
Warning Notice - [insert school name]

In accordance with the provisions of Part 2 of the School Standards and Organisation (Wales) Act 2013 (hereinafter referred to as “the Act”) and Welsh Government Statutory Guidance Document (202/2016) Schools Causing Concern I hereby serve upon you this formal Warning Notice outlining the required improvement as set out below.

Following [insert evidence] I am satisfied that the following grounds, as set out in the Act and Statutory Guidance, exist to warrant the serving of this formal Warning Notice to secure immediate improvement in the specified aspects of the school [remove as necessary]:

**Ground 1:** the standards of performance of pupils at the school are unacceptably low – in that:

- [insert evidence]

**Ground 2:** there has been a breakdown in how the school is managed or governed – in that:

- [insert evidence]

**Ground 3:** the behaviour of pupils at the school or any action taken by those pupils or their parents is severely prejudicing, or is likely to severely prejudice, the education of any pupils at the school.

- [Insert evidence]

**Ground 4:** the safety of pupils or staff at the school is threatened (whether by a breakdown of discipline or otherwise) - in that:

- [Insert evidence]

**Ground 5:** the governing body or headteacher has failed, or is likely to fail, to comply with a duty under the Education Acts in that:

- [Insert evidence]

To address the shortcomings identified the following actions are required of your
governing body.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1. [what is required by whom, (it is good practice to provide guidance to governing bodies on how they might comply]</td>
<td>[insert timelines and include how this will be monitored and by whom]</td>
<td>[any updates to be added here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Implement the agreed action plan immediately and report weekly to the local authority on progress

C. Confirm to the local authority by the end of the specified compliance periods that all actions have been successfully concluded.

Whilst responsibility for undertaking the requirements of this Warning Notice rests with the governing body the local authority will offer all support reasonably practicable through a ‘team around the school’ approach, including input from the school challenge adviser and regional consortium.

Responsibility for overseeing the action plan for xx on behalf of the local authority will rest with [insert individual name and title within the LA].

I am obliged, by the terms of the Act and Statutory Guidance, to notify you that should you fail to comply with the requirements of this Warning Notice within the specified time periods that I will use the statutory powers of intervention available to me. This intervention could take the form of the appointment by the local authority of additional governors or ultimately, should the circumstances warrant it, the local authority making an application to the Welsh Ministers to establish an Interim Executive Board to replace the existing governing body.

Through your prompt cooperation with the requirements with this Warning Notice I very much hope to avoid having to take any such formal intervention.

In satisfaction of the terms of Section 3(3) of the Act a copy of this Warning Notice is being sent to the Headteacher and to the Welsh Government.

Yours sincerely
Director of Education and Children’s Services

Copy: Welsh Government
       Headteacher
[in the case of a foundation or VA / VC school the person who appoints
foundation governors]
[appropriate religious body where applicable]
Annex 5: Links to related documents

*Schools causing concern: Draft guidance for schools and local authorities* (2013)  

School Standards and Organisation Act (Wales) 2013  

Education Act 1996  

Education Act 2002  

Education Act 2005  

Learning and Skills Act 2000  

Education and Inspections Act 2006  

The Education (School Inspection) (Wales) Regulations 2006  

The Inspection of Education and Training (Wales) Regulations 2001  

The Maintained Schools (Partnership Agreements) (Wales) Regulations 2007  

The School Governance (Transition from an Interim Executive Board) (Wales) Regulations 2012  

(Estyn updated September 2017)

(Estyn updated September 2017)
https://www.estyn.gov.wales/inspection/inspection-guidance/special-schools
(Estyn updated September 2017)

(Estyn updated September 2017)

The Federation of Maintained Schools (Wales) Regulations 2014

Power to innovate
http://gov.wales/topics/educationandskills/schoolshome/raisingstandards/power-to-innovate/?lang=en